

had attacked the system, but not the judges who administered it.

Section 44 was then read and passed over without amendment.

Mr. Carter said he was instructed by a majority of those present at the consultation of the Baltimore city delegation to present a substitute for part four of the report. There were seventeen delegates present, nine of whom were in favor of the substitute.

Mr. Garey said the gentleman was not authorized to present this as a report, as there had been no instructions to that effect.

Mr. Ritchie said the manner of his colleague, (Mr. Carter,) in presenting this substitute was calculated to produce a very different impression than he (Mr. R.) considered it entitled to. His colleague was not even the chairman of the committee, and although the facts as to the vote were correct, as stated by his colleague, he certainly was not authorized to report it as a basis of compromise, and had no assurance that those of his colleagues who voted for it would ultimately support it.

The substitute was ordered to be printed. It is as follows:

Sec. 27. There shall be in the eighth judicial circuit six courts, to be styled the Supreme Bench of Baltimore city, the Superior Court of Baltimore city, the Court of Common Pleas, the Baltimore City Court, the Circuit Court of Baltimore city, and the Criminal Court of Baltimore.

Sec. 28. The Superior Court of Baltimore city, the Court of Common Pleas and the Baltimore City Court shall each have concurrent jurisdiction in all civil common law cases, and concurrently all the jurisdiction which the Superior Court of Baltimore city and the Court of Common Pleas now have, except jurisdiction in equity and cases of appeal from judgments of justices of the peace in said city, whether civil or criminal, or arising under the ordinances of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, of all of which the Baltimore City Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction.